

**IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF WHITE COUNTY, ARKANSAS
FIRST DIVISION**

301 W Arch Ave., Searcy, AR 72143

NIKITA LEE MAHONEY, et al.)
))
))
))
))
))
))
))
))
))

v.)

NO. 73CV-18-874

MARK DERRICK, in his official)
Capacity as District Judge for the)
23rd Judicial District of the State of)
Arkansas)
))
))
))
))
))
))

**Monday, November 11, 2019
VETERANS' DAY
I AM A VETERAN
(See Pages 14-15)**

**AMICUS CURIA BRIEF
IN FAVOR OF THE PLAINTIFFS**

“Delusion is Reality for the Corrupt”

**Arkansas Code of Judicial Conduct
Rule 2.15 - Responding To Judicial And Lawyer Misconduct**

**Judge Mark Derrick Continues to
Terrorize the People of Arkansas**

PREFACE

Corruption has a stranglehold on government and society everywhere from local city and county government to state government and the federal government.

I studied BEHAVIORAL PSYCHOLOGY and the RULE OF LAW in my leisure-time course of self-study of CONSTITUTIONAL LAW, CIVIL RIGHTS LAW, FEDERAL CRIMINAL LAW, ARKANSAS CRIMINAL

LAW for 30+ years before, during, and after my 20 years as a merchant seaman traveling all over the world. I studied everything that caught my interests. Early on in my life I instinctively adopted CRITICAL THINKING and OCCAM'S RAZOR as my method to solve problems suppressing emotional thinking.

I learned enough to declare today that the United States Constitution's Guarantee of a Republican Form of Government is currently crossing the threshold of destruction from a nihilistic form of individual rights by the fringe of society at the expense of the cohesiveness of internal National Security of civil society. Similar circumstances that caused the fall of the Roman Empire, perhaps.

My declaration is confirmed by the current crop of Democrat candidates running for President advocating various forms and elements of *nihilistic* Socialism that caused destruction to every country that adopted Socialism. The proverbial grass is greener on the other side of the fence. I will again append my political poems illustrating these points.

Elementary schools through high schools teaching Critical Thinking and Occam's Razor will override emotional thinking that causes so much violence and crime. People gravitate toward Critical Thinking and Occam's Razor method of problem solving when they see examples of that methodology. The perfect example of the is the Wordpress blog I created for my campaign for Mayor of Kensett, Arkansas. Emotional thinking was embedded in the people and the City Government of Kensett. I got a total of nine votes.

That is the prime example of THE BOILED FROG THEORY of a political society trapped by emotional thinking. I present an excerpt from Steven Yates, THE BOILING FROG SYNDROME, LewRockwell.com, August 11, 2001:¹

Just recently my father drew my attention to Ric Edelman's financial planning website, which has a wealth of information and strategies on the subject. While exploring the site I ran across what Edelman called the Boiling Frog Syndrome. He introduced the idea to explain how the American public has come to accept a certain amount of inflation as normal, despite the ease of producing sound arguments that inflation works against our best efforts to plan and build wealth over the long term. Here is Edelman's account of the Boiling Frog Syndrome: "If you throw a frog into a pot of boiling water, he'll jump out. But if you place a frog into a pot of lukewarm water and slowly turn up the heat, it will boil to death. And so it is with inflation. We've grown accustomed to inflation over the past 25 years, but that doesn't mean we don't continue to be hurt by its effect."

In other words, if people become acclimated to some policy or state of affairs over a sufficient period of time, they come to accept the policy or state of affairs as normal. It struck me that we have on our hands a principle that can be generalized beyond explaining the acceptance of the slow devaluing of our currency. The Boiling Frog Syndrome explains how the American public has come to accept breaches of Constitutional government that would have provoked armed resistance a hundred


¹ <https://www.lewrockwell.com/2001/08/steven-yates/the-boiling-frog-syndrome/>

years ago. The public has grown accustomed to these breaches, and to the federal government conducting myriad activities that are nowhere authorized by the Constitution and accepts them as normal.

The principle is one of gradualism, or what might be called piecemeal social engineering rather than calls for the kinds of revolutions that led to the Soviet Union and Red China. Most people will instinctively resist abrupt, revolutionary change. Nor can they really accommodate it. Large scale revolutions attempting to change all the institutions of society at once make it impossible for anyone – including the revolutionaries – to plan rationally. This is why, with very rare exceptions such as our own War for American Independence, they tend to leave everything worse off than it was before.

Anyone who has studied systems theory grasps what is operating here. Systems theory (sometimes called cybernetics) is the general science of organization. It attempts to understand the behavior of complex, integrated structures involving multiple and often interdependent components and their responses to both internal and external sources of potential disruption. System is a very general concept. What counts as a system can be a cell in an organism's body or a business corporation or the U.S. economy – and every other kind of organization in between, including an acting person. A central aspect of systems theory is to reflect on the primary need of all systems, which is to maximize stability or equilibrium. Systems of whatever sort automatically attempt to enhance their own stability or equilibrium by influencing their environment (everything outside their boundaries). This may mean coordinated actions with other systems, it may mean acting directly on some potentially disruptive agent in the environment, or it may mean adjusting its own internal function so as to minimize the potential for disruption. In sum: systems, to maintain or enhance their own stability, must be able to anticipate and prepare for potential sources of destabilization from outside, where the source is a disease source or other harmful agent affecting the health of an organic body or a revolutionary army that threatens to disrupt a country. And they must be able to deal with sources of potential disruption from inside, from violent criminals to power-hungry politicians.

In the words of Frederick Douglass



*“Let me give you a word of the philosophy of reform. The whole history of the progress of human liberty shows that all concessions yet made to her august claims have been born of earnest struggle. The conflict has been exciting, agitating, all-absorbing, and for the time being, putting all other tumults to silence. It must do this or it does nothing. **If there is no struggle there is no progress.** Those who profess to favor freedom and yet deprecate agitation are men who want crops without plowing up the ground; they want rain without thunder and lightning. They want the ocean without the awful roar of its many waters.*

This struggle may be a moral one, or it may be a physical one, and it may be both moral and physical, but it must be a struggle. Power concedes nothing without a demand. It never did and it never will. Find out just what any people will quietly submit to and you have found out the exact measure of injustice and wrong which will be imposed upon them, and these will continue till they are resisted with either words or blows, or with both. The limits of tyrants are prescribed by the endurance of those whom they oppress.”

SOURCE: On August 3, 1857, Frederick Douglass delivered a “West India Emancipation” speech at Canandaigua, New York, on the twenty-third anniversary of the event. Most of the address was a history of British efforts toward emancipation as well as a reminder of the crucial role of the West Indian slaves in that own freedom struggle. However shortly after he began Douglass sounded a foretelling of the coming Civil War when he uttered two paragraphs that became the most quoted sentences of all of his public orations. They began with the words, “*If there is no struggle, there is no progress.*” The entire speech appears is published online at www.blackpast.org/1857-frederick-douglass-if-there-no-struggle-there-no-progress.

EXCERPT FROM FEDERALIST No. 10

The Same Subject in Federalist 9 Continued

THE UNION AS A SAFEGUARD AGAINST DOMESTIC FACTION AND INSURRECTION

Friday, November 23, 1787.

The latent causes of faction are thus sown in the nature of man; and we see them everywhere brought into different degrees of activity, according to the different circumstances of civil society. A zeal for different opinions concerning religion, concerning government, and many other points, as well of speculation as of practice; an attachment to different leaders ambitiously contending for pre-eminence and power; or to persons of other descriptions whose fortunes have been interesting to the human passions, have, in turn, divided mankind into parties, inflamed them with mutual animosity, and rendered them much more disposed to vex and oppress each other than to co-operate for their common good. So strong is this propensity of mankind to fall into mutual animosities, that where no substantial occasion presents itself, the most frivolous and fanciful distinctions have been sufficient to kindle their unfriendly passions and excite their most violent conflicts.

Pellegrino Food Prods. Co. v. City of Warren

136 F. Supp. 2d 391, 407 (W.D. Pa. 2000).

“**Abuse of process** refers to the improper use of a civil or criminal legal procedure for an unintended, **malicious, or perverse reason**. It is the **malicious and deliberate misuse** of regularly issued civil or criminal court process that is **not justified by the underlying legal action**.” “The key elements of abuse of process is the **malicious and deliberate misuse** of regularly issued civil or criminal court process that is **not justified by the underlying legal action**, and that the abuser of process is interested only in accomplishing some **improper purpose** similar to the proper object of the process. **Abuse of process is an intentional tort. Abuse of process encompasses the entire range of procedures incident to the litigation process such as discovery proceedings, the noticing of depositions and the issuing of subpoenas.**”

**EXCERPT FROM MY AMICUS CURIAE BRIEF TITLED
“LEGAL MAXIMS ABOUT BAD JUDGES” FILED AUGUST 12, 2019**

In the *Nakita Lee Mahoney* case Special Judge David Laser requested the parties submit supplemental briefing to address the impact the recently-decided case of *Justice Network Inc. v. Craighead Cty.*, No.17-3770, 2019 WL 3366723 (8th Cir. July 26, 2019), has on the pending dispositive motions in this case.

The fatal flaw in *Justice Network Inc. v. Craighead County*, 8th Circuit, Case No. 17-37702019 WL 3266723 (8th Cir. July 26, 2019) is that the 8th Circuit did not take into account the cause and effect of former U.S. Attorney General Jeff Sessions rescinding Document No. 11 in the list of 25 Guidance Documents rescinded titled: *U.S. DEPT OF JUSTICE, DEAR COLLEAGUE LETTER: LAW ENFORCEMENT FINES AND FEES* (Mar. 14, 2016).

Rescinding that *DEAR COLLEAGUE LETTER* resurrected Debtors’ Prisons all across the country transforming legal courts into unconstitutional kangaroo courts. At minimum, it takes a criminal conspiracy between a judge and a prosecutor to run a kangaroo court.

In *Justice Network, Inc.* in page 5 (citing *Martin v. Hendren*, 127 F .3d 720, 721 (8th Cir. 1997: “[u]nless judges act completely outside all jurisdiction, they are absolutely immune from suit when acting in their judicial capacity.”

Since *Justice Network, Inc.* is about court fines, that implies Judge David Boling, and Judge Tommy Fowler of Craighead County Court were operating a kangaroo court by running a debtor’s prison scheme against the poor people of Craighead County. If this presumption is true then Judge David Boling, and Judge Tommy Fowler **were acting completely outside all jurisdiction** running a kangaroo court. **Therefore they HAVE NO absolute immunity from suit because running a kangaroo court is outside their judicial capacity.** (Redundancy intended to make the point sink in.)

This also applies to Judge Mark Derrick of the Kensett Kangaroo Court (sarcasm). Judge Derrick does NOT have absolute immunity or any type of immunity for that matter.

In my Amicus Curiae Brief, filed August 1, 2019, titled “*THE TREASONOUS NIHILISTIC LYNCHING OF AMERICA*,” I cautioned the White County Circuit Court against succumbing to localistic tunnel vision as symptomatic of a kangaroo court in violation of *ARKANSAS CODE § 5-53-116 SIMULATING LEGAL PROCESS*. I stated in page 2 of that brief the following:

“While the plaintiffs’ and defendant’s attorneys microscopically focus on case laws in the class action lawsuit, *Nakita Mahoney et al. v. Judge Mark Derrick*, White County Circuit Court, Case No. 73CV-18-874, they risk missing the

national crisis caused by the resurgence of debtors' prison (i.e. kangaroo courts) all across the country. As here, I have been presenting national views on debtors' prisons to show that the cause in *Nakita Mahoney case* is not limited to *White County Arkansas*."

Excerpt from my Amicus Curiae Brief titled, OBJECTING TO DEFENDANT JUDGE MARK DERRICK'S MOTION & BRIEF FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS, filed May 20, 2019:

On February 24, 2017, President Trump signed Executive Order (EO) 13777, Titled "ENFORCING THE REGULATORY REFORM AGENDA."² Executive Order 13777 Enforcing the Regulatory Reform Agenda is the original causal effect for the resurgence of Debtors' Prisons that brings Judge Mark Derrick as a defendant to the White County Circuit Court in the *Nakita Lee Mahoney case*.

Executive Order 13777 establishes the policy of the United States to alleviate unnecessary regulatory burdens placed on the American people; requires each agency head to designate a Regulatory Reform Officer responsible for overseeing the implementation of regulatory reform initiatives and policies; requires each agency to establish a Regulatory Reform Task Force to evaluate existing regulations and make recommendations to the agency head regarding regulations to repeal, replace or modify; and requires each agency listed in 31 U.S.C. § 901(b)(1) to incorporate into its Annual Performance Plan performance indicators to measure progress toward 1) achieving regulatory reform initiatives and policies and 2) identifying regulations to repeal, replace or modify.

Executive Order 13777 authorized Attorney General Jeff Sessions to rescind 25 Guidance Directives on December 21, 2017. Of those 25 directives, it is Guidance Directive No. 11, DEAR COLLEAGUE LEITER ON ENFORCEMENT OF FINES AND FEES (March 2016) that caused the resurgence of Debtors' Prisons all across America spurring FALSE CONVICTIONS OF THE INNOCENT. "It is better that ten guilty persons escape, than that one innocent suffer." Sir William Blackstone (July 10, 1723 - February 14, 1780).³ It seems that the antithetical version of Blackstone is the legal

² https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2018/06/EO13777_EnforcingRegulatoryReformAgenda.pdf

³ Sir William Blackstone (1723-1780) was considered the preeminent English scholar and the most authoritative speaker on common law. This quote is from his *Commentaries on the Laws of England*, which was highly influential in the development of U.S. law. It is comprised of four "books" divided into rights of persons, the rights of things, of private wrongs, and of public wrongs. Early American lawyers looked to the *Commentaries* as an authoritative source and it was used as a textbook in legal education in both England and America. It is still cited as a source of authority on the history of English law. The Anglo-American concept of "reasonable doubt" is reflected in this quote, which also known as "Blackstone's ratio". It is seen quoted in legal opinions and scholarship to this day. The quote acknowledges the tradeoff in a criminal justice system where one accepts a certain number of false acquittals compared to false convictions. Similar principles were suggested by

norm today. “It is better that no guilty persons escape, even if one or more of the innocent suffer false convictions.”

It is the Attorney General Jeff Sessions using Executive Order 13777 as a wrecking ball to destroy President Trump's attempt to restore the Rule of Law by immediately rescinding the DEAR COLLEAGUE LETTER ON ENFORCEMENT OF FINES AND FEES (March 2016) without first going through FEDERAL RULEMAKING PROCESS to legitimize the federal prohibition of Debtors' Prisons. This SHOCK AND AWE method of rescinding Guidance Documents is itself an unconstitutional administrative procedure. It is one thing to implement a DOJ Agency Guidance Document against Debtors' Prisons. But once that DOJ Agency Guidance Document becomes intertwined in the administration of federal, state, and municipal courts protecting the poor from the cycle of high court fines and fees and repeated jailing, it becomes an unconstitutional act for President Trump and Attorney General Jeff Sessions to rescind the prohibition against Debtors Prisons. The media backlash against this particular Guidance Document massively condemning the removal of prohibition against Debtors Prisons.

The problem?

“Wrongful convictions are now viewed as a social problem globally.”⁴

THE U.S. SUPREME COURT BECAME THE COURT OF POLITICS IN 2003

The Big Lie for me is that people representing themselves in Federal or State courts will be treated with proper respect and protection of their Substantive and Procedural Due Process Rights. I was a merchant seaman aboard a U.S. Military Sealift Command ship near Saipan on September 11, 2001. In 2002 I filed SECOND AMENDMENT NATIONAL OPEN CARRY lawsuit from a merchant seaman's point of view without representation against President George W. Bush. In 2003 the U.S. Supreme Court denied my Petition for Writ of Certiorari. See **Don Hamrick v, President Bush**, 540 U.S. 940 (2003), even though I had opposing opinions from two U.S. Courts of Appeals on the Second Amendment. The U.S. Supreme Court violated their Rule 10(a) to deny my appeal.

● **SILVEIRA V. LOCKYER**, 312 F.3d 1052 (9th Cir. 2002), is a decision by the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit ruling that the Second Amendment to the United States Constitution **did not guarantee individuals the right to bear arms**. The U.S. Supreme Court denied review. 124 S. Ct. 803 (2003)

predecessors such as Justice Hale, Fortescue and Voltaire with varying “ratios”. Available online at: <http://library.law.harvard.edu/justicequotes/explore-the-room/south-4/>

⁴ Marvin Zalman, WRONGFUL CONVITIONS: A COMPARATIVE PERSPECTIVE, Wayne State University, May 4, 2016. <https://papers.ssm.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstractUd=2899482>.

● **UNITED STATES v. EMERSON**, 270 F.3d 203 (5th Cir. 2001), cert. denied, 536 U.S. 907 (2002), is a decision by the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit holding that the **Second Amendment to the United States Constitution guarantees individuals the right to bear arms.**

WHAT HAPPENS?

What happens when you combine the SECOND AMENDMENT with the FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT, the COMMON DEFENCE CLAUSE in the PREAMBLE and in ARTICLE 1, SECTION 8, CLAUSE 1; the PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES CLAUSE IN ARTICLE 4, SECTION 2, CLAUSE 1 and in the FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT?

YOU GET NATIONAL OPEN CARRY IMBEDDED IN THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION!

The Preamble Becomes:

“We the People of the United States, in Order to form a more perfect Union, **Declare that National Open Carry** establishes Justice, insures domestic Tranquility, provides for the common defense, promotes the general Welfare, and secures the Blessings of Liberty to ourselves and our Posterity, do ordain and establish this Constitution for the United States of America.”

See NRA Letter on the next page.

The NRA refused my request for help with my National Open Carry case at the U.S. Supreme Court. The NRA Letter is equivalent to Treason against the Constitution’s Second Amendment, the Common Defence and the Privileges and Immunities.

**Not defending National Open Carry is
Treason against the United States Constitution.**

That’s Constitutional Law Speaking!



NATIONAL RIFLE ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA

INCORPORATED 1871
11250 WAPLES MILL ROAD
FAIRFAX, VA 22030

OFFICE OF THE
GENERAL COUNSEL

(703) 297-1250
Fax (703) 297-3783

2 October 2002

Don Hamrick
5860 Wilburn road
Wilburn, Arkansas 72179

Dear Mr. Hamrick:

We decline your invitation to become involved in your *pro se* litigation. Your disk is being returned to you.

Sincerely yours,

Robert Dowlut
General Counsel

END OF PREFACE

Suzanne Safarov's Plea for Help From Me

On November 8, 2019 at 2:40 AM Suzanne Safarov sent me an email through my blog DON HAMRICK REPORTING ON KENSETT, ARKANSAS⁵ in response to my blog post NEW DEVELOPMENTS: WHITE COUNTY, ARKANSAS CORRUPTION IS WORSE THAN I THOUGHT.⁶

Pages 6–9 is my answer to Judge David Laser's inquiry. The conclusion that any and every court in the United States running a resurrected unconstitutional Debtors' Prison Scheme are running a Kangaroo Court outside all jurisdiction and therefore have no immunities of any kind from civil or criminal liability. The same goes for prosecutors.

On that basis any judicial actions by a judge running a Debtors' Prison Scheme fall under the umbrella of Unconstitutional Orders having no force of law. People being harassed by such judges have the right constitutional right to resist unconstitutional court order.

The alternative to a Failure to Appear in Kangaroo court is to remove the State criminal case to the Federal Court under 18 U.S. CODE § 1455 PROCEDURE FOR REMOVAL OF CRIMINAL PROSECUTIONS. But the Federal Court has their ABSTENTION DOCTRINE.⁷ The goal of ABSTENTION is the avoidance of needless conflict with a state court.

ABSTENTION is a doctrine under which federal courts may choose not to hear a case, even if all the formal jurisdiction requirements are met. There are several established instances in which federal courts will generally abstain. First, federal courts will abstain rather than issue an injunction against a state court, in either a civil or criminal matter. See *Klein v Burke Constr. Co*, 260 US 266 (1922); ***Younger v. Harris***, 401 U.S. 37 (1971). Second, a federal court can abstain if the case presents unresolved questions of both the state law and the federal constitution. In that case, the federal court generally would want to avoid the constitutional issue if possible, but also does not want to get the state law question wrong. Therefore, in a practice called "Pullman abstention," the federal court may abstain until the state law question can be resolved in state court. See *Railroad Comm'n of Texas v. Pullman Co.*, 312 US 496 (1941).

The RULE OF LAW for 18 U.S. CODE § 1455 PROCEDURE FOR REMOVAL OF CRIMINAL PROSECUTIONS should be Justice Douglas' dissenting opinion in ***Younger v. Harris*** (No. 2) 401 U.S. 37 (February 23, 1971).

⁵ <https://donhamrickformayorofkensett.wordpress.com/> (I was running for Mayor of Kensett when I created the blog. I changed the name of the blog to DON HAMRICK REPORTING ON KENSETT, ARKANSAS after the election keeping URL.)

⁶ <https://donhamrickformayorofkensett.wordpress.com/2019/01/21/new-developments-white-county-arkansas-corruption-is-worse-than-i-thought>

⁷ <https://www.law.cornell.edu/wex/abstention>

[BLOG:]

DON HAMRICK REPORTING ON KENSETT, ARKANSAS CORRUPTION
Making Kensett a Corruption Free Zone

[BLOG POST]

January 21, 2019

NEW DEVELOPMENTS:
WHITE COUNTY, ARKANSAS
CORRUPTION IS WORSE THAN I THOUGHT

UPDATE:

November 9, 2019

COMMENT FROM: Suzanne Safarov
November 8, 2019 at 8:40 am

“Just recently they had a Jacksonville cop lie on the stand because they had nothing to convict me on. Walmart, the Judge, Prosecutor, and even [the] Public Defender was aware he was lying because he wasn’t even on the scene. also, December 19, [2019] I am supposed to face Judge Dred Mark Derrick. He will see to it that I sit in jail [through] Christmas with no conscience whatsoever. I asked for [Change of Venue]. If [Judge Derrick says] no I will be FTA [Failure To Appear] I promise. I won’t go right before [Christmas].”

That allegation alone puts jurisdiction with the FBI Public Corruption Division for criminal violations Federal and State constitutional rights and civil rights citing 18 U.S. Code 241 Conspiracy Against Rights & 18 U.S. Code § 242 Deprivation of Rights Under Color of Law. DON HAMRICK

My Immediate Recommendation For Suzanne Safarov

FIND AN ATTORNEY FIRST AND FAST!

With your attorney, go to the FBI in Little Rock. Tell them everything that happened to you and why you are thinking “failure to appear.” The information you give will help initiate an FBI Public Corruption investigation into Judge Mark Derrick and Prosecutor Don Raney.

My Email For Your Defense

Sent: Saturday, November 9, 2019, 8:08:27 PM CST
From: Don Hamrick
To: David Sachar – **Judicial Discipline Commission;**
Stark Ligon – **Office of Professional Responsibility;**
Alli Mack **Office of Professional Responsibility;**
Don Raney – Kensett Prosecutor;
Allen Edge – **Mayor of Kensett;**
Rebecca McCoy – White County Prosecutor;
Sheriff Phillip Miller;
Steve Watts – Editor, Searcy Daily Citizen;
John Pollard – Kensett Chief of Police;

BT

Judge Mark Derrick has earned a reputation as “**Judge Dred**”

I will give Judge Mark Derrick another reputation, “**Fudge Mark Derrick**” because he fudges the reputation of defendants with false convictions as he did with me.

With Suzanne Safarov’s comment to my blog post titled, ***New Developments: White County, Arkansas Corruption Is Worse Than I Thought***, dated January 21, 2019, **I now have the evidence to compel Governor Asa Hutchinson to initiate a criminal investigation by the FBI Public Corruption Division into Judge Mark Derrick and Prosecutor Don Raney for running a Kangaroo Court in violation of Arkansas Code § 5-53-116 Simulating Legal Process.**

It appears that Judge Dred violated a number of her rights including her First Amendment right to religious freedom. She is doing the only thing her primal instincts are telling her to do in her situation facing a criminally corrupt judge—RUN! Regardless of her imminent FTA runnining from a Kangaroo Court is not a crime. Especially if her allegations against the Jacksonville police officer and the public defender are true. She will have standing to sue for damages. Suzanne Safarov, you need to find an attorney first and fast!

MY FURTHER RECOMMENDATIONS FOR YOU & YOUR ATTORNEY

**READ MY REPLIES TO YOUR COMMENT. THEN GO TO MY BLOG,
AMERICAN COMMON DEFENCE REVIEW.⁸ THAT IS YOUR EDUCATIONAL
REPOSITORY ON THE CLASS ACTION LAWSUIT AGAINST JUDGE DERRICK.**

⁸ <https://americancommondefencereview.wordpress.com/2019/10/10/my-latest-amici-curiae-briefs-in-the-case-against-arkansas-judge-mark-derrick/>

CONCLUSION

Jones quoted Roger C. Cramton, a law professor at Cornell University, who wrote in the 1970s that “the ordinary religion of the law school classroom” is “a **moral relativism** tending toward **nihilism**, a pragmatism tending toward an amoral instrumentalism, a realism tending toward cynicism, an individualism tending toward atomism, and a faith in reason and democratic processes tending toward mere credulity and idolatry.” (See top paragraph p. 18)

Amended Case Management Order

DEADLINE	EVENT
August 9, 2018	Case No. 60CV-18-5616 filed at Pulaski County Circuit Court
October 31, 2018	Case transferred to White County Circuit Court on Motion for Change of Venue
November 14, 2018	Case filed as No. 73CV-18-874
October 18, 2019	MY MOTION FOR JOINDER DENIED BY JUDGE DAVID LASER. COURT CLERK STOPPED UPLOADING MY SUBSEQUENT AMICUS CURIAE BRIEFS. REASON? I AM NOT A PARTY TO THE CASE. <i>(I am invoking the absurdity doctrine in a forthcoming civil action for damages)</i>
Amended Case Management Order	
February 21, 2020	Fact discovery cutoff
March 27, 2020	Expert disclosures
April 24, 2020	Rebuttal expert disclosures
June 26, 2020	Expert discovery cutoff
July 31, 2020	Motions other than motions in limine (including Daubert, summary judgment)
September 18, 2020	Hearing on Motions
October 16, 2020	Pretrial disclosures (including witness lists, exhibit lists, deposition deposition designations)
October 30, 2020	Deposition counter designations and any other supplemental pretrial disclosures
November 6, 2020	Objections to deposition designations
November 6, 2020	Motions in limine
November 6, 2020	Jury instructions
November 20, 2020	Pretrial hearing
December 7, 2020	Jury trial

This case will take 2 years and 4 months. But every case I filed as an unrepresented civil plaintiff in federal court since 2002 was denied establishing STIGMATIC HARM UNDER UNCONSTITUTIONAL CONDITIONS as standing.


Police are sidelined when the commit wrongs on duty. Why are judges not sidelined when a civil case is filed against them? Judge Derrick continues to terrorize the People of Arkansas. Judge David Laser is apparently refusing to act on my previous RULE 2.15 RESPONDING TO JUDICIAL AND LAWYER MISCONDUCT. Consider this my reinforced RULE 2.15 NOTIFICATION to which Special Judge David Laser and Special Judge Teresa Smith from Lonoke are duty bound by the Arkansas Code of Judicial Code to report corrupt judges for disbarment.

This notification contains clear and convincing evidence that Judge Mark Derrick continues to violate the Code of Judicial Conduct, the Rule of Law, and the rights of the people of Arkansas. The only remedy for Judge Derrick's reign of terror in the court room is **disbarment** through

- (1) the ARKANSAS JUDICIAL DISCIPLINE COMMISSION, or
- (2) through the ARKANSAS HOUSE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE or
- (3) through GOVERNOR ASA HUTCHINSON petitioning the HOUSE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE.

Both Special Judge David Laser and Special Judge Teresa Smith are duty bound by the Arkansas Code Judicial Conduct to file a complaint through one, two, or all three methods above to file a complaint against Judge Mark Derrick and Prosecutor Don Raney.

The question facing both judges is will they prove themselves to be true to the Code of Judicial conduct or will the prove they are as corrupt as Judge Derrick and Judge Milas Hale from Sherwood District Court (replacing Judge Derrick in my first misdemeanor case where Judge Derrick was forced to recuse himself in response to my MOTION FOR RECUSAL for his display of bias in open court. Judge Milas Hale instantly convicted me in defiance of my numerous EXCULPATORY MOTIONS. That's evidence of corruption under RULE 406 HABIT - ROUTINE PRACTICE, ARKANSAS RULES OF EVIDENCE.

Sincerely,

Don Hamrick

MY POLITICAL POEMS

American Merchant Seamen in Harm's Way

By Don Hamrick (2004)

Pirates by sea, terrorists by land.
Through hostile waters we sailors dare steam,
Defensive weapons denied our hand.
Not the law of land or sea it would seem.

Without rhyme or reason,
September 11, a day of slaughter.
Security now a perpetual season.
Arm ourselves now! Sailors oughta!

Pirates and terrorists armed to the teeth,
With every blade and firepower within reach,
Against sailors defenseless as sheep.
For to arm sailors liberals would screech,

Would cause the Bill of Rights
To become our steering light.



“The American Legal System is Corrupt Beyond Recognition!”

Screams Judge Edith Jones



On February 28, 2003 The Judge Edith Jones of the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals (***became the Chief Judge of the Fifth Circuit on January 16, 2006***) told the Federalist Society of Harvard Law School that the American legal system is corrupt almost beyond recognition.”

She said that the question of what is morally right is routinely sacrificed to what is politically expedient. The change has come because legal philosophy has descended to ***nihilism***.

“The first 100 years of American lawyers were trained on Blackstone, who wrote that: ‘The law of nature– dictated by God himself–is binding in all counties and at all times; no human laws are of any validity if contrary to this; and such of them as are valid derive all force and all their authority from this original.’ The Framers created a government of limited power with this understanding of the rule of law – that it was dependent on transcendent religious obligation,” said Jones.

“This is not a prescription for intolerance or narrow sectarianism for unalienable rights were given by God to all our fellow citizens. Having lost sight of the moral and religious foundations of the rule of law, we are vulnerable to the destruction of our freedom, our equality before the law and our self-respect. It is my fervent hope that this new century will experience a revival of the original understanding of the rule of law and its roots.”

Threats to the Rule of Law

The legal system itself.

The government.

The most comprehensive threat is contemporary legal philosophy.

“Throughout my professional life, American legal education has been ruled by theories like positivism, the residue of legal realism, critical legal studies, post-modernism and other philosophical fashions,” said Jones. *“Each of these theories has a lot to say about the ‘is’ of law, but none of them addresses the ‘ought,’ the moral foundation or direction of law.”*



Jones quoted Roger C. Cramton, a law professor at Cornell University, who wrote in the 1970s that “*the ordinary religion of the law school classroom*” is “*a moral relativism tending toward nihilism, a pragmatism tending toward an amoral instrumentalism, a realism tending toward cynicism, an individualism tending toward atomism, and a faith in reason and democratic processes tending toward mere credulity and idolatry.*”

Jones said that all of these threats to the rule of law have a common thread running through them, and she quoted Professor Harold Berman to identify it: “*The traditional Western beliefs in the structural integrity of law, its ongoingness, its religious roots, its transcendent qualities, are disappearing not only from the minds of law teachers and law students but also from the consciousness of the vast majority of citizens, the people as a whole; and more than that, they are disappearing from the law itself. The law itself is becoming more fragmented, more subjective, geared more to expediency and less to morality. The historical soil of the Western legal tradition is being washed away and the tradition itself is threatened with collapse.*”

Judge Jones concluded with another thought from George Washington: “*Of all the dispositions and habits which lead to prosperity, religion and morality are indispensable supports. In vain would that man claim the tribute of patriotism who should labor to subvert these great pillars of human happiness – these firmest props of the duties of men and citizens.*”

Upon taking questions from students, Judge Jones recommended Michael Novak’s book, **On Two Wings: Humble Faith and Common Sense.**

“*Natural law is not a prescriptive way to solve problems,*” Jones said. “*It is a way to look at life starting with the **Ten Commandments.***”

Judge Edith Jones’ remarks inspired me to write my nihilistic poem which I include here:

A Nihilistic Form of Government, This United States!

By Don Hamrick
© 2004 Don Hamrick

01 Give us this day our daily servilism,
02 So that actual freedom may never taunt,
03 The spirit in us, into a future pugilism.
04 Lest the government forever haunt.
.....How long?

05 Henry Hyde confessed that fateful day,
06 The Constitution, no longer relevant.
07 'Tis our fault we are slaves today,
08 We refused to be freedom’s adjuvant.
.....How long?

09 Our Republican government, overthrown,
10 By the Department of Homeland
11 Insecurity. Terrorism, its propaganda, overblown,
12 Freedom guaranteed by enslavement to security.
.....How long?

13 A new mythos proclaimed from this nihilism,
14 **Only deadens our sense of discernment.**
15 From this ethos of paranoia comes this falabilism,
16 You can't be trusted. But trust the government.
.....How long?

17 Deceiving us in a blanket of security,
18 That we are safe from a world of dangers.
19 Forever oppressed our sense of responsibility,
20 To protect ourselves from such harbingers.
.....How long?

21 In vain we plead our Second Amendment right
22 To contest government edicts from on high
23 The courts rule our arguments as so much tripe
24 They say it does not apply on the thigh
.....How long?

25 Three doors of government slammed shut
26 Leaving us to agitate for want of freedom
27 The rule of law now is anything but
28 As we live in this wretched thraldom
.....How long?

29 How long will we sit and cower
30 Resenting those who act above the law
31 Before we stand up for balance of power
32 To stop the advancing rape of law
.....How long?

33 Lost to us now our Bill of Rights
34 This Nihilistic government frights.
.....Will it be much longer?

“Cataclysms”

(A poem in Diamante form)

Thursday, April 20, 2006

© 2005 Don Hamrick

Freedom

Independence, autonomy

Speaking, associating, traveling

Action, responsibility, permission, dependence

Obedience, submission, oppression

Laws, regulations

Slavery

Speech

Dialog, lecture

Learning, questioning, teaching

Research, email, government, investigate

Harassing, intimidating, threatening

Coercive, abusive

Silence

Association,

Mingle, join

Participating, discriminating, voting

Society, congress, estrangement, alienation

Disassembling, segregating, dividing

Suppression, stealth

Isolation

.

Judges

Constitutional, law

Deliberating, theorizing, concluding

Adjudicator, marshal, partisan, crony

Corrupting, lying, betraying

Biased, prejudiced

Criminals

.

Government

Guidance, balance

Regulating, administrating, delegating

Republic, commonwealth, nihilistic, despotic

Racketeering, marauding, transgressing

Indiscriminate, desultory

Anarchy

Ruth Bader Ginsburg Hailing From the Tower of Babel!

Thursday, April 20, 2006

In August 1, 2003 Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg⁹ gave a lecture at the American Constitution Society,⁴ a liberal organization, on the Lone Ranger mentality of the United States standing apart from other nations who do not have such a high regard for individual rights and freedoms. I could not resist the opportunity to make a parody of her speech. Her unpatriotic remarks did not go unnoticed.

On April 1, 2005 Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg gave a speech at *THE 99TH ANNUAL MEETING OF THE AMERICAN SOCIETY OF INTERNATIONAL LAW ON VALUE OF A COMPARATIVE PERSPECTIVE IN CONSTITUTIONAL ADJUDICATION*.¹⁰

Her first words cited Deuteronomy 16:20 that is not from the King James Bible.

THE OUTRAGE: *“Before taking up the diversity of opinions on this matter, I will state and endeavor to explain my view, which is simply this: If U. S. experience and decisions can be instructive to systems that have more recently instituted or invigorated judicial review for constitutionality, so we can learn from others now engaged in measuring ordinary laws and executive actions against charters securing basic rights.”*



The King James Bible is the basis for the Code of Judicial Conduct in the United States “The Canons of Ethics.”

The King James Bible

Deuteronomy 16:18-20,

18: Judges and officers shalt thou make thee in all thy gates, which the Lord thy God giveth thee, throughout thy tribes; and they shall judge the people with just judgment.

19: Thou shalt not wrest judgment; thou shalt not respect persons, neither take a gift; for a gift doth blind the eyes of the wise, and pervert the words of the righteous.

20: That which is altogether just shalt thou follow, that thou mayest live, and inherit the land which the Lord thy God giveth thee.

In light of her political activism I wrote the poem you see below in defiance of her goals to bastardize our Constitution with foreign court opinions in matters having no jurisdiction to foreign courts:

⁹ <http://eagleforum.org/column/2003/aug03/03-08-20.shtml>

¹⁰ <https://www.acslaw.org/>

Hailing From the Tower of Babel

by Don Hamrick

©2005 Don Hamrick

01 Ruth Bader Ginsburg chanting from an uncommon Writ
02 Justice, justice shall you pursue, that you may thrive!”
03 Where, o’ where may our justice be found? Infers the twit,
04 But in the security of foreign lands to contrive!

05 O’ what Bible does this Supreme Court Justice follow?
06 Her read is certainly not from the King James!
07 She will have us pursue justice as some elusive swallow
08 Always beyond our reach, to spite her claims.

09 We can ignore our Constitution, she implies,
10 Because it no longer controls our authority.
11 Comparative analysis, will protect us, she belies
12 Against all threats in the global fraternity.

13 **O’ contraire! We, the People say,**
14 **Our Constitution is altogether just!**
15 **We shall follow the Constitution for our sake!**
16 **We say what it means, as we must!”**

17 King James’ Deuteronomy is my comparative analysis
18 The Supreme Court today is our Tower of Babel
19 As we are held in this awkward state of paralysis,
20 Because there is no sense to Ginsburg’s rabble.

21 **Defiant lines are drawn! Is civil war sensed?**
22 Our highest court split by globalists’ sophistry.
23 Judicial review in league to conspire against,
24 **Popular constitutionalism finding its place in history.**

25 Oh! Dear God, I pray to thou!
26 For answers in these troubled days.
27 Why hast thine judges forsaken thee?
28 **With no force of arms we are as slaves.**

29 Amen.